THE 50 FOR 50 GROWTH GUIDES · GROWTH FACTOR 22

The Leaderboard: Promote from Within

THE 50 FACTOR — GROWTH FACTOR #22

Promote from the Leaderboard

The Complete Implementation Guide

BY SCOTT SCULLY

Principle #22: Promote from the Leaderboard

The Complete Implementation Guide

This white paper is a companion to Chapter 22 of The 50 Factor by Scott Scully.

Why This Principle Matters

The most reliable path to filling leadership roles is promoting from the leaderboard — your stack-ranked A-Player Scores over six months. Internal promotions come with built-in credibility, cultural fluency, and team trust that no external hire can match.

The Three Criteria

Not every top performer is a leader. The leaderboard gets you candidates — but three criteria determine who's ready:

Criterion 1: Leaderboard position. Top 10% of the function, consistently, for at least 6 months. Not a flash of brilliance — sustained excellence. The consistency matters because leadership is a sustained-effort role, not a sprint.

Criterion 2: Leadership signals. Others seek them for help. They mentor informally. They step up during crises. They use "we" language instead of "I" language. They make the people around them better — not just themselves.

Criterion 3: Coachability. They receive feedback, integrate it, and come back improved. This is the most overlooked criterion. A top performer who bristles at feedback will be a nightmare as a manager — because managing is 50% giving and receiving feedback.

All three must be present. A top performer without leadership signals is a great individual contributor — promote them and you lose a great IC and gain a mediocre manager. A person with leadership signals but middling performance lacks the credibility to lead a team of high performers. A top performer with leadership signals but no coachability will calcify in the role and resist development.

Why Internal Beats External

The data is consistent across every scaling services business: internal promotions outperform external hires for leadership roles at a rate of approximately 2:1.

Credibility on Day 1. The team already respects their performance. They don't need to prove themselves — they've been proving themselves for 12-24 months. An external hire starts at zero credibility and has to earn it while simultaneously learning the company, the culture, the clients, and the systems.

Cultural fluency. They know the values, the rhythms, the norms, the history. They know which clients are easy and which are difficult. They know which processes work and which are workarounds. An external hire spends 3-6 months learning what the internal candidate already knows.

Lower risk. External leadership hires fail at 2-3x the rate of internal promotions. The cost of a failed leadership hire — in severance, re-hiring, team disruption, and lost momentum — is typically 2-3x the person's annual salary. Internal promotion failures, when they occur, are usually softer landings because the person can return to their previous role.

Retention signal. When the team sees that sustained performance leads to promotion, your best people stay. When they see leadership roles going to external hires, they conclude: "Performance doesn't matter here — connections do." That conclusion drives your A-players to competitors.

The Promotion Process

Step 1: Pull the 6-month leaderboard. Stack-rank by A-Player Score. Identify the top 10%.

Step 2: Evaluate the top 3-5 against all three criteria. Leaderboard position is already confirmed. Now assess leadership signals (360 feedback from peers, observation from manager, evidence of mentoring) and coachability (response to feedback over time, demonstrated growth).

Step 3: Have the development conversation. Not a promotion offer — a development conversation. "I see leadership potential in you. Here's what the role would look like. Here are the gaps I'd want to close first. Here's the timeline I'm thinking. What questions do you have?"

Step 4: Close the gaps before promoting. If the candidate needs management training, public speaking practice, or cross-functional exposure — provide it over 60-90 days. Don't promote and hope they figure it out. Invest in closing the gaps, then promote.

Step 5: The first 90 days. Structured onboarding into the leadership role. Manager playbook (Principle #11). Weekly check-ins with their manager. A buddy who's already in a similar role. Clear 30/60/90-day milestones.

When to Go External

When no internal candidate passes all three criteria. But build guardrails:

Build a 90-day trial period with clear checkpoints at Day 30 and Day 60. Assign the best internal performer as a "buddy" — not a subordinate, a peer guide who helps the external hire learn the culture. At Day 90, ask two questions: "Does the team follow them?" and "Are we better?" If either answer is no, act fast — a bad leadership hire does more damage in months 4-12 than in months 1-3.

The Policy: Make It Explicit

Don't leave promotion criteria to speculation. State it publicly: "In this company, the path to leadership runs through the leaderboard. We promote from performance, not politics, not tenure, not who asks the loudest."

Say it in all-hands meetings. Reference it when you make a promotion. When the team sees the leaderboard leader get promoted, the message is received: performance is rewarded here. That message is the most powerful retention tool you have for A-players — because A-players want to work in meritocracies, not bureaucracies.

Common Mistakes and How to Avoid Them

Mistake #1: Promoting the person who asks the loudest. Squeaky wheels aren't always leaders. The leaderboard is the objective measure. Use it.

Mistake #2: Promoting for loyalty instead of performance. Tenure is not a qualification for leadership. Someone who's been there five years and performs at B-level is a loyal employee who deserves recognition — not a promotion to a role that requires A-level performance.

Mistake #3: Not having a leaderboard. If promotions are based on gut feel, they're based on bias. Build the A-Player Score (Principle #6). Stack-rank. Let the data guide the decision.

Mistake #4: Promoting and hoping. Closing the gaps before promoting is essential. A 60-90 day development period between "identified as a candidate" and "placed in the role" is an investment that prevents the most common promotion failures.

Mistake #5: Never going external. Internal-first doesn't mean internal-only. When the leaderboard is genuinely empty for a role, going external with guardrails is better than promoting someone who isn't ready.

Quick-Start Checklist

- ✓ ☐ Read the full white paper and identify the most relevant action items for your stage
- ✓ ☐ Pick the single most impactful first move
- ✓ ☐ Implement it this week
- ✓ ☐ Review progress in your next leadership meeting

Implementation Tools

Ready-to-use templates for this Growth Factor

XLSX **Leadership Readiness Assessment**
Columns: Name, 6-Mo A-Player Avg, Leaderboard Rank, Leadership Signals (1-5), Coachability (1-5), Overall Ready?, +2 more

PDF **Internal Promotion Checklist**
Identification to first 90 days

PDF **Development Conversation Script**
The I see potential conversation

PDF **External Hire Guardrails**
90-day trial with checkpoints

PDF **Promotion Announcement Template**
Reinforce the meritocracy message

This is Principle #22 of 50. Part III: The Scale Engine — principles for companies growing from $12M to $25M.

Get the book: The 50 Factor by Scott Scully

The Player-Coach Transition

The most dangerous moment in an internal promotion is the first 30 days — when a top performer who was producing at 100% suddenly has to split their time between producing and managing. Most new managers try to do both at full capacity. They can't. Something breaks — usually the management part, because IC work has deadlines and management work doesn't.

The transition plan: During the first 90 days, the new leader's IC workload should be reduced by 50%. This is non-negotiable. If you promote someone and don't reduce their IC load, you haven't promoted them — you've given them two jobs. Assign their excess IC work to the team (which also develops the team) and block their management time on the calendar (Principle #12).

The coaching structure: Weekly 1:1s with their manager during the first 90 days, focused on management skills — not output. How did your first 1:1 go? How did you handle the accountability conversation? Where did you feel unprepared? The technical skills that made them a top performer are not the same skills that make them a great manager. Coach the new skills deliberately.

The Visibility Problem

Most founders who hire externally for leadership roles do so because they can't see their internal bench. They don't have a leaderboard. They don't have A-Player Scores. They don't have a formal process for evaluating leadership readiness. So when a leadership role opens, they default to what they know: post the job, hire a recruiter, interview strangers.

The A-Player Score (Principle #6) and the quality scorecard (Principle #5) solve this. When every person in every role has a daily score and a quality metric — tracked over months — the leaderboard builds itself. You don't need to guess who your best people are. The data tells you. And when a leadership role opens, you pull the 6-month leaderboard and the answer is usually obvious.

The policy makes it explicit: "In this company, the path to leadership runs through the leaderboard. We promote from performance, not politics." Say it in all-hands meetings. Reference it when you make a promotion. When the team sees the leaderboard leader get promoted, they receive the most powerful retention message possible: excellence is rewarded here.

The Failed External Hire

External leadership hires fail at 2-3x the rate of internal promotions. When they fail, the cost is devastating:

- • 6-9 months of salary during the failed tenure
- • Severance costs
- • Recruiting costs for the replacement
- • Team disruption — people who were managed by the external hire now have whiplash
- • Client disruption — relationships that were partially transferred need to be rebuilt
- • Momentum loss — the strategic initiative the external hire was leading is now stalled

The total cost of a failed leadership hire is typically 2-3x the person's annual salary. For a VP-level role at $150K, that's $300K-$450K in direct and indirect cost. An internal promotion that doesn't work out is usually a softer landing — the person returns to their previous role, retains their institutional knowledge, and the transition cost is a fraction of the external failure cost.

None of this means you should never hire externally. It means you should exhaust the internal bench first, build the guardrails for external hires (90-day trial, buddy system, 30/60/90 checkpoints), and accept that internal-first isn't just philosophically right — it's financially right.

Quick-Start Checklist

✓ ☐ Pull the 6-month A-Player Score leaderboard for one function this week

✓ ☐ Evaluate the top 3 names against all three criteria (leaderboard, leadership signals, coachability)

✓ ☐ For any candidate who passes all three: begin the development conversation

✓ ☐ Close identified gaps over 60-90 days before promoting

✓ ☐ Structure the first 90 days in role: manager playbook, weekly check-ins, buddy system, 30/60/90 milestones

✓ ☐ Make the policy explicit at the next all-hands: "The path to leadership runs through the leaderboard"

✓ ☐ For any leadership role with no qualified internal candidate: go external with guardrails (90-day trial, buddy, checkpoints)

Implementation Tools

Ready-to-use templates for this Growth Factor

XLSX **Leadership Readiness Assessment**
Columns: Name, 6-Mo A-Player Avg, Leaderboard Rank, Leadership Signals (1-5), Coachability (1-5), Overall Ready?, +2 more

PDF **Internal Promotion Checklist**
Identification to first 90 days

PDF **Development Conversation Script**
The I see potential conversation

PDF **External Hire Guardrails**
90-day trial with checkpoints

PDF **Promotion Announcement Template**
Reinforce the meritocracy message

The Retention Signal

When the team sees the leaderboard leader get promoted, they receive the most powerful retention message possible: performance is rewarded here. A-players want to work in meritocracies. They want to know that their effort matters, that the path upward is based on results, and that politics can't bypass performance.

Every internal promotion reinforces this message. Every external hire for a role that an internal candidate deserved undermines it. The policy — stated publicly, lived consistently — is the difference between keeping your best people and losing them to competitors who promise a faster path.

The Development Period

The 60-90 days between "identified as a candidate" and "placed in the role" is the most valuable investment in the promotion process. During this period, the candidate closes specific gaps — management training, public speaking, cross-functional exposure — that prepare them for the transition from top performer to leader.

Without this period, you're promoting and hoping. With it, you're promoting and knowing — because the candidate has demonstrated the skills in a structured setting before they need them in the real one. A candidate who practices running a 1:1 in the monthly captain cohort (Principle #37) before they run their first real 1:1 starts stronger and stumbles less. That 60-90 day investment prevents the most common promotion failure: a great IC who becomes a struggling manager because nobody taught them management before handing them a team.